

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,189	08/04/2003	Ronald Nasco	3023.2.6	7095	
7:	590 08/18/2004		EXAM	INER	
MICHAEK W. STARKWEATHER			PHILLIPS, C	PHILLIPS, CHARLES E	
8 EAST BROA	DWAY				
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE C	CITY, UT 84111		3751		

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		r
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,189	NASCO, RONALD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles E. Phillips	3751
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDOI cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/4/03.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/634,189

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Poston.

See Fig. 5, where a plunger and handle are seen covered by a container which includes a vegetation holder at its upper portion where a flower is depicted.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poston, as applied supra, in view of Kent.

Kent, viewing Fig. 8 teaches a decorative overlay 315 on a plunger holder (see col. 3, lines 63-65) where seasonal artwork "depicting various holiday scenes" is seen. This teaches removal of one scene in favor of another. To provide for the removal of the flower of Poston in light of these teachings would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan.

Applicant refers to "the new C-I-P application, however, no reference to a parent is included and no mention of a parent is evident in the specification or oath. This should be corrected.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,189

Art Unit: 3751

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles E.

Phillips at telephone number 308-1515.

Charles E. Phillips Primary Examiner